## **VSP Public Comment**

From: mbgraham@sbcglobal.net
Sent: Monday, June 27, 2005 1:57 PM
To: Secretary of State, Constituent Affairs

**Cc:** McDannold, Bruce **Subject:** Standards for AVVPAT

Should Calfornia reject the proposed Diebold system? Vote=Yes

A fair and ethical voting process is the cornerstone of our beloved democracy. Although they've been swept under the rug, the outcomes of the 2000 and 2004 Presidential elections revealed serious flaws and manipulations of this system. Aside from the probable criminal activity and conspiracy that took place, we MUST ensure that this DOES NOT happen again. If you are not in support of preventing this, then - in my opinion - you are part of the conspiracy itself. Please use your power to represent truth and honesty. Protect the voice of your constituency by demanding that proper steps be taken: 1. easy to read 12 pt. font; 2. permanent ink; 3. a separate sheet for each vote record; 4. a format that will make a recount easy.

It's a crying shame that the Bush Administration has created such distrust in the people of America... under the guise of "patriotism". Help restore our confidence and our faith in what America truly stands for. Please, demand that Diebold implement citizen proposed requirements.

I realize your work requires great personal sacrifice and is an often thankless job. I am grateful. Thank you for your service to the people of California and for your time.

Ms. Beth Graham 11640 Boom Pointer Way Rancho Cordova, CA 95670

Citizen Proposed Standards:

The AVVPAT shall be printed on single sheet non-thermal at least 16 pound paper, one record of vote per sheet.

Every recorded vote, no matter how recorded, shall have a AVVPAT copy.

The AVVPAT record of the vote shall be printed in a minimum of 12 point font.

The AVVPAT shall be printed and organized to be easily read by both the voter and election officials.

The AVVPAT during the 1% manual audit and any recount shall be physically verified and hand counted only.

The recorded vote choices on the AVVPAT shall not be audited or recounted by automatic or electronic methods.

There shall not be a method by which any particular voting record can be connected to any particular voter.

Any AVVPAT spoiled or rejected by a voter because of a voting system error shall not be counted as a spoiled ballot under the two spoiled ballots limit.

No remote access to voting machines by wireless or internet.